

Consent is an execution boundary.

A protocol is meaningful when the code refuses an unsafe action—not when a page merely describes one.

THE NORMATIVE HANDSHAKE					RFC-001
01 Discover	02 Hello	03 Offer	04 Consent	05 Deliver	06 Acknowledge
The familiar four-part story—identity, consent, scoped exchange, receipt—is a compression of this six-phase protocol, not a different protocol.					

ENFORCED IN CODE 01 Subject-bound grant HMAC-SHA256 signed; verified Firebase UID; TTL capped at 24 hours. 02 Scope registry Generated vocabulary; unknown scopes resolve most restrictively for preference writes. 03 Action boundary Action-capable MCP tools are blocked without a consent token in the active context. 04 Receipt trail Grant and connector access receipts are HMAC-signed and hash-chained.	DO NOT CONFUSE WITH SHIPPED • Registry validation at token mint is still an open seam. • Preference-document reads and writes are not yet receipted server-side. • The public discovery document and hosted PCHP MCP service are not implemented. • Site-banner choices remain device-local; clearing site data clears them. “Published is not the same as enforced.”
--	---

DESIGN TEST Can an agent proceed without the exact person, purpose, scope, validity, and current consent status? If yes, the protocol has not done its job.

